Abstract
This essay offers a critical account of socially-engaged works by United States artists at documenta in Athens, Greece, in 2017. Focusing on William Pope.Jr’s Whispering Campaign and Rick Lowe’s Victoria Square Project, this article examines the status of Greek history for works by international artists and the challenges of transplanting long-running artistic strategies to new locations. It argues that social practices face the same challenges of translation as artworks in other media, and that social practice is, ultimately, site specific.
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A claim: Social practice is site specific. When art operates as social practice, its forms, meanings, and possibilities of communicability are dependent upon particular social groups and settings, and are not always able to be moved. Here, we take social practice as a body of work in which an artist deploys his or her social position as artist in order to generate financial, institutional, and logistical support for a project that materially benefits other, non-art world, folk.¹

Social practice can encompass a range of artistic activities, taking the form of community service(s), dialogic encounters, social justice initiatives, speculative economies, public awareness campaigns, social welfare programs, et cetera. The phrase “social practice” has been called a “West Coast term” by curator Nato Thompson, whose 2011 Creative Time exhibition Living as Form was one of the most visible efforts at canon formation for this genre in the visual arts.² Already in the mid-2000s, however, performance studies scholar Shannon Jackson was writing on the term “social practice” not as geographically-rooted, but as a concept that emerged at the disciplinary intersection of visual arts, (postdramatic) theater, and activism.³ Linguistically, the term seems to originate in German-language art criticism of the mid-1990s, with particular energy around the activities of Austrian collective Wochenklausur.⁴ And Wochenklausur’s increased visibility in the United States during the early 2000s offers one possible trajectory for the term’s adoption with regards to U.S.-based practices.
Commonly, the forms and meanings of social practice have been linked to an individual artist, as a sort of brand identity. Thomas Hirschhorn, for example, deploys the Frankfurt School and high culture in the service of marginalized communities on the outskirts of city centers; his works are presumed to be equally intelligible in a Paris banlieu (Musée Précaire Albinet, 2004) and a Bronx housing project (Gramsci Monument, 2013). Tania Bruguera uses pedagogy and collectivism to give voice to the disenfranchised, whether Cuban artists and dissidents (Arte de Conducta, 2002–09; Tatlin’s Whisper #6, 2009) or immigrants sans papiers in Queens (Immigrant Movement International, 2011–16). What often gets lost in the critical and art historical response to these projects is that they can be more or less convincing, more or less successful, in different social situations. So, then, a normative claim: As social practice art comprises an ever-growing share of international mega-exhibitions, organizers and participants will have to grapple with challenges of translation and duration, with the possibility that social practice may demand that each artist roots his or her practice in a single locale. Or, with the possibility that (acknowledging, of course, the protean make-up of any given community) authorship of social practice must be accorded to the collective. Otherwise, the Volk are merely a social practice artist’s material.

This essay takes the case of artworks presented in Athens, Greece, as part of the 2017 documenta exhibition, which was split between Kassel, Germany, and Athens, Greece. For, as Lutz Hengst has noted, it remains a question “whether the division of ... documenta [14] between two main venues, Athens in addition to Kassel, can embody a renunciation of Eurocentrism; or whether other, location-aware forms serve to better make visible asymmetries between population groups as a global problem per se, and also in themselves mostly heterogeneous contemporary cultures.”

William Pope.L’s Whispering Campaign

Trying valiantly to find the “whispering” works by Chicago-based artist William Pope.L, doubly intrigued after reading a laudatory review:

“an especially lovely piece, effectively penetrating the viewer’s psyche. (At one moment, I thought I was hearing voices in my head.)”

But, like so much of documenta in Athens, failing to find the artwork was more rewarding.

Standing beneath the equestrian statue of King Constantine I: a group of three adults, from two continents (North America, Europe), plus two tiny children, in the public park Pedion tou Areas, one of the sites for William Pope.L’s Whispering Campaign. But somehow they had arrived from the wrong direction. On documenta’s map, Whispering Campaign was just inside the park at this monument to Greek history. But the group walked the long way through the park, entering at Evelpidon Street, where a miniature tent city housed rough sleepers. Some of the tent city’s inhabitants appeared to be migrants from outside Greece; some (both native Greek and foreign) were visibly using drugs; there also appeared to be prostitution occurring. Farther along was Constantine I. The group climbed his platform and stood quietly, hearing nothing. After poking around the bushes, looking for speakers hidden there, they stared expectantly at each passerby, waiting for them to linger and confide “elliptical yet biting aphorisms about race and color.” A lone man in exercise gear seemed to pause, but no one was sure how to activate the work — was the jogger even a part of it? Someone suggested approaching the police officer in the corner of the plaza, to ask: “Where is the art?”

Pope.L’s Whispering Campaign was described as a multi-site sound piece of live performances and recorded voices, a sort of eavesdropping on Athens’ subliminal life. Its “social” component came in part during the process of making, when Pope.L asked Athens natives to speak about their city. The social also arose in the work’s reception, as murmured messages allowed Athens psychogeographies to infiltrate the consciousness of urban dwellers and documenta visitors. Ideally, the work offered a disembodied and, more importantly,
non-localizable monologue that absorbed visitors into the “minor” historical narratives valued by Athens natives. The work thus seemed to target art world visitors rather than locals, with its trilingual murmurings oftentimes literally translating for non-Greek-speaking viewers. In contrast, the Kassel portion of Pope.L’s work seemed to rely more on literary texts such as Grimm’s fairy tales, perhaps reflecting the perceived familiarity of German culture versus a relatively unknown Greece, needing to be accessed ethnographically.

*Whispering Campaign* seemed to demand a certain attentiveness to its setting, especially given that some commentators understood it precisely as “a new work to address the liminal status of refugees and immigrants, specifically for *documenta 14*.” Indeed, one could understand the entire Athens portion of *documenta 14* as a site specific gesture on the part of artistic director Adam Szymczyk and his international team of curators. Szymczyk stated that holding part of *documenta* in Athens was a direct response to perceptions of “power dynamics of a crumbling Europe,” as well as to “pressing issues of migration, citizenship and identity.” Yet many of the *documenta* venues and sites participated in bolstering traditional symbols of national power, in their most hackneyed 19th-century form, with the opera house, the neoclassical cemetery, the antique stadium operating as symbols of cultural excellence – metonymically extending to political might.

But of course Athens itself had different ideas. While critic Amandas Ong noted the presence of “Crapumenta” graffiti, “*DOCUMENTA14 fuck off!*” was another favorite seen plastered on walls across the city, particularly at the edges of official venues: Athens’ unconscious.

*DOCUMENTA 14 FUCK OFF* poster by diarriktes on wall outside Documenta exhibition at Athens Polytechnic. [https://diarriktes.wordpress.com/2017/05/24/documenta14-fuck-off/](https://diarriktes.wordpress.com/2017/05/24/documenta14-fuck-off/) Athens, Greece, May 2017. Photograph by Manol Gueorguev
And while found vocalizations of Whispering Campaign purported to offer just such a view of Athens’ unconscious, the work’s locations were also predominantly institutions of “high” culture: Greece’s National Museum of Contemporary Art; the Athens Conservatory; and the Megaron concert hall, which hosts opera and ballet and is located adjacent to the U.S. embassy. Even the outdoor sites for Whispering Campaign—for example, the late-Hellenistic/early Roman-era Panathenaic Stadium and the 19th-century First Cemetery of Athens—alerted documenta visitors to Greece’s cultural patrimony.

Whispering Campaign’s presence in Pedion tou Areos park offered something different, with a tent city of migrants and drug users mere meters away from a heroic statue of a Greek monarch. The park is situated on the spot where 19th-century revolutionaries fomented an independence movement that brought King Otto I (originally German) to the helm of the Greek state. At the spot where Pope.L’s Whispering Campaign was marked on the documenta map, the park featured a statue of King Constantine I (originally Danish-Russian), the country’s acting ruler during Greece’s Megali Idea [Great Idea] of territorial gain. The Megali Idea was an exercise in hubris that resulted in a devastating loss to Turkish forces in the Greco-Turkish War of 1919–1922. This defeat ushered in a bi-national ethnic cleansing that marked Greece’s “first” refugee crisis, as Anatolian Greeks (marked not by language or ethnicity but by the Greek Orthodox religion) flooded into the country from Izmir/Smyrna and beyond. Meanwhile, Hellenic Turks (similarly identified by their religion, as Moslem) were kicked out of Greece and returned to their Turkish “homeland.” With the contemporary refugee “crisis”—pressures on an economically weakened Greek state, European Union directives guiding actions of the local police, and a Turkey-E.U. agreement sending thousands of refugees “back across the Aegean”—there are echoes of this earlier treaty. A Europe cleansed of Moslems. Greece as a staging ground for the ebb and flow required to make this vision of Europe a reality.

At documenta, the Pedion tou Areos park participated in signifying the Greek nation for a foreign audience, but not because it spurred a newfound appreciation for the modern history of the nation. In failing to hear the Whispering Campaign, one could still stumble upon Greece’s crises of unalleviated migration, homelessness, and addiction—an encounter with the tent city in Pedion tou Areos was itself a microcosm of precisely the “pressing issues” documenta sought to address, though one absent from any official map.

Pope.L’s project succeeded because it failed; the work was not, it seems, intended to foreground the specific dissonances visible in Pedion tou Areos. And it failed because Pope.L applied a trope of social practice—a performative collision of grand narrative history and lived experience, in which contemporary lives were displayed with the same gravitas as a country’s myths—in a setting with which he was unfamiliar. One can imagine a nearly identical work by Pope.L set in the United States, with performances and sound recordings drawn from historical sources and contemporary voices, installed alongside a statue of George Washington, at the National Mall, in Arlington Cemetery, at the National Gallery of Art, et cetera. And—for better or worse—given Pope.L’s identity as African American, these sound installations would have been understood as a pointed critique of normative (white) American history. (Even more so at present, given tensions over historical statuary, monuments, and memorials.) This would not necessarily be a successful artwork itself, but one steeped in lived histories of place and space: Washington, D.C., as a capital city constructed in large part by enslaved Afro-descendents. For socially-engaged artworks to work, one seems to require a certain familiarity with local histories, a familiarity lacking in Pope.L’s Athens work, despite good intentions and a relatively lengthy research phase.

This is not to say that an artist must work from personal experience, or in the role of representing some aspect of identity. But to create a “social practice,” the artist must know what things signify for people, the local instinctive response to a phrase, a site, an image, that comes from familiarity, even intimacy, with a given
Rick Lowe's *Victoria Square Project*

Meandering through an L-shaped pedestrian street not far from Victoria Square: the same group of five, plus one more, a Malian migrant traversing Athens without proper papers. Now our merry band was two tiny children plus four adults from three continents (North America, Europe, Africa). The goal had been to eat grilled octopus, but an incongruous glassy gallery space caught the eye – a corner storefront diagonal to a small restaurant and little else. It was a documenta work, by American artist Rick Lowe.

At the moment, it housed an exhibition of Ukrainian embroidery (from the private collections of “distinguished Ukrainian folk artists” Daria Stasiouk and Diana Novak) and a Tanzanian woman doing piecework in the window, a sort of living mannequin who seemed amused at the whole endeavor – and who was just as much on display as the embroideries around her. Rick Lowe was there to meet an Albanian man for a game of chess. The man does not speak English, and Lowe does not speak Albanian, so they came together on the chessboard, an event Lowe described in glowing terms as fulfilling the aims of his work.

In contrast to Pope.L, Rick Lowe’s work was more recogniz-
able as social practice, as a work that activated an underused space via art in order to drive community formation and economic growth. The gallery’s location was chosen based on its proximity to Victoria Square, a central Athens plaza frequented by migrants, and also a site of open-air drug use – as with Pedion tou Areos park, one often encounters drug use and migrants together in Athens, since the public spaces that feel available for migrants are often sites visibly less patrolled by the state apparatus.

Lowe’s gallery hosted events and temporary installations, many focused on refugee and immigrant communities in Athens. The project also included a neighborhood newsletter of sorts, a bilingual documenta-published, weekly newspaper that profiled
1972, Beuys presented *Boxkampf für direkte Demokratie* [Boxing Match for Direct Democracy], in which he publicly boxed against art student Abraham David Christian Moebuss, performing the bellicose triumph of direct democracy over the parliamentary system. Meanwhile, a “rose for democracy” anchored Beuys’ “Office of the Organization for Direct Democracy through Referendum” in documenta’s Museum Fridericianum, a symbolic and avowedly aesthetic gesture unmoored from any specific local concerns. Furthermore these gestures are, as Benjamin H. D. Buchloh has pointed out, compensatory: “the loss of the grounding of culture in ritual and hence the destruction of the legitimacy and credibility of religion ... were compensated now by an artistic reaction formation that attempt[ed] to reinvigorate the ritualistic dimension of artistic practices and performances by imbuing the artist with the public role of the priest, the shaman, the redeemer of spirituality.”

In postwar Germany, Beuys granted politics the discarded hallmarks of religious theatricality – a boxing match standing in for the liturgy and a rose as banal substitute for the crucifix – but suppressed actual political content.

A compensatory gesture also haunted Rick Lowe’s *Victoria Square Project*, which displayed a similar sort of generic engagement with its setting, with some indistinct idea of “the social.” In the formulation of *Victoria Square Project*, the setting was Athens, which – at least in global clichés – signifies migration, which signifies negotiating difference. Lowe spoke of his pleasure at the spontaneous cross-cultural interactions that arose at *Victoria Square Project*: as an example, he described regularly playing dominoes with an Albanian man. Yet as documenta curator Monika Szewczyk describes, Lowe’s best-known project, the Houston-based *Project Row Houses*, comes with an almost identical (readymade) story: Lowe’s “mentor, the artist, scavenger, and local [Houston] sage Jesse Lott” comes to play dominoes regularly at Lowe’s ongoing Houston-based social artwork, *Project Row Houses.*

Thus Lowe transplanted a model of social interactions from local business owners and reported small news from the area. The publication seemed to enact Lowe’s desire for his gallery to be welcomed into the neighborhood, and also offered a low-key “buy local” effort, a squishy attempt to address the economically downtrodden state of the city. Lowe’s work was thus obviously engaged with local twinned crises: simultaneously addressing lack of social support systems for migrants, and Greece’s economic fragility. Yet the very means Lowe introduced to address these issues were not local, operating instead through a generic mode of so-called social practice.

Lowe described the *Victoria Square Project* as a “social sculpture.” The nod to Joseph Beuys is apt, since Beuys offered a similarly vague and dislocated form of “the social” that initially operated from his particular, ambiguous position in postwar Germany, but gave way to a broadly utopian scheme. Beuys’ work consistently took a seeming specificity of experience, of history, and converted it to the generic, as with his shamanistic invocations of the German military body qua body. In Beuys’ formulation this body became something itself vulnerable and able to be rescued; the body was thus reducible to a blood-filled, breathing being succored in “fat and felt,” rather than an active a political agent in the particular context of World War II-era Germany. Likewise, for all his involvement in German party politics throughout the late 1960s and 1970s, Beuys ultimately sublimated pernicious questions of social conflict into generic Romantic proclamations of creativity as panacea: “Jeder Mensch ist ein Künstler.”

In these feints at political work, Beuys demonstrated a capacity to recognize and energize nascent forces, at least in a context he knew well. When he harnessed the burgeoning student movement around the Düsseldorf Kunstakademie in 1967 to found the short-lived Deutsche Studentenpartei, he demonstrated a savvy understanding of the hyper-local conditions that can drive political activity. However, as time went on, and especially in an art context, such efforts became illustrative and allegorical. For documenta 5 in
Houston to the Athens Victoria Square Project, offering viewers/participants a blueprint for positive—and sometimes scripted—social engagement with people unlike themselves. And what more poetic way to negotiate difference than a wordless game of strategy in which victory comes with an utterance? In this sense, the chess game was as allegorical and empty as Beuys’ rose. This anecdote asserts a high modernist claim to universality, with “the social” as a vaguely humanitarian affect felt around the globe. Is a mute board game the new black square? But of course there is substantial difference between a dominoes match between two men who share a multitude of cultural references (though perhaps divergent access to opportunity) and the same native tongue, and a chess game whose defining quality is the utter impossibility of dialogue.

Lowe’s best-known work, Project Row Houses (1993–), is also resolutely compensatory, as an arts-funded social welfare organization centered in a low-income, predominantly African American community of Houston that acts an umbrella organization for activities including art residencies, site-specific installations, community arts programming, housing for young mothers, and a Community Development Corporation constructing affordable rentals. This work draws upon financial support from governmental entities such as National Endowment for the Arts, corporations such as Home Depot, private foundations, and wealthy families such as the Menils; the figure of “artist as visionary” in this newly-imagined public role as a channel, diverting wealth from its current unequal distribution, to trickle furtively towards areas of need. This mode of artmaking, social practice, compensates for the loss of a “social safety net,” the loss of the legitimacy and credibility of the state in the face of contemporary economic “realities.” If wealth is concentrated in the hands of would-be art patrons, social practice artists transform patronage into something different.

In Athens, there was a sense that Documenta itself operated as an exploitative model of social practice, as a tragically unfocused and even immoral performance of the economic imbalance of power between Greece and Germany. For Greek curator iLiana Fokianaki, “The binary between north and south Europe is a profitable one for a ‘classical’ institution such as Documenta to exploit.” But as former Greek Minister of Finance Yanis Varoufakis countered, “The point is not that they [Documenta] came but rather how they came to Athens, whom they went to bed with (metaphorically), and how they used a seemingly progressive left-wing critique of what is happening in Greece to willingly or unwillingly propagate the very process that is causing the country’s crisis. In the name of seeking solutions they became part of the problem.”

One of the poetically lucid posters condemning Documenta proposed a similar critique of the art world’s “anthropological” approach to Athens, with the exhibition offering “a psychogeography of a city in crisis.” Variously condemning a roster of figures including Documenta 14 curator Adam Szymczyk, Athens mayor Giorgos Kaminis, and Documenta’s curator of public programs, Paul B. Preciado, the poster mentioned only a single artist: Rick Lowe. For the authors of the poster, Lowe’s work seemed emblematic of the exhibition as a whole: “Victoria Square is the topos where prospector [also, pejoratively, bargain hunter, or gold digger] Rick Lowe seeks the ties that bind art and the new entrepreneurship.”

If social practice necessitates the artist as visionary, there is a crucial difference between the Beuysian figure of the shaman and the social practice artist’s requirement to be finding or representing “the people.” It is not as a lone shaman retreating to his studio, but as a priest with his wayward flock that the social practice artist acts, guiding a quasi-congregation towards the salvation of art world monies. Social practice art uses people as materials, and it is validated through the identities and attributes of the people who are its subjects—or objects. It almost doesn’t matter which form the artwork takes (interviews, parties, a storefront gallery, a dice game), so long as it somehow involves people who are not already inhabitants of the “art world.” On the other hand, with social practices, the
identities of the people involved can also come to be meaningless, with the only criterion of social practice is that it involves someone – anyone – who is not already in that art world. Thus the transposition of the dominoes opponent, from a local Houston sage to a wordless Albanian man in Athens.

EU / US
It’s telling that two North American artists working in social practice floundered at a temporary mega-exhibition in Europe. With its robust social welfare systems, dense cities, and comparatively generous state funding for the arts, the European Union seems a natural setting for social practices. Thus, the ability to effect collisions between art and “the social” benefits from a number of sympathetic organizations and funding streams that are practically non-existent in the United States. In the U.S., social practice artists are more likely to draw upon grants and funding from private foundations, businesses, and corporations, as Rick Lowe has done with his Houston-based *Project Row Houses*. In the U.S., the tensions of neoliberal society thus constitute the very forms and conditions of possibility for social practice art. On the one hand, it is thus difficult to avoid a certain generality or superficiality in social practice art which is itself supported (financially, institutionally) by the very economic machinations and social hierarchies it purports to address. But the best social practice art focuses intently on these conditions, making the precise mechanisms of financial flows and privileged access to political agency the material of the artworks. And perhaps this was what felt lacking in these Athens works by William Pope.L and Rick Lowe, that in transposing their mode of art making from the United States to Europe, these tensions fell away.
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University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, February 9th–11th, 2012. Similarly, Benjamin H. D. Buchloh would identify Joseph Beuys’ “use of sausages, brown paint, domestic and medical objects, the peculiar hybrid between the wounded corporeal matter and the industrial object” as rooted in “the peculiar transitional moment of the lower Rhine regions suspended between artisanal and industrial forms of production embedded in an agricultural or rural environment that still permeated everyday life into the postwar period.” However, Buchloh continues, “it is also important to recognize that it is precisely the conventionality with which Beuys, or shall we say his interpreters, reinvests in the gesture of the found object, reinvests in the gesture of the serialization of the ready-made, the matter, the procedure, and repositions them in nodes of metaphorical meaning that makes Beuys and others of national superiority. Or if one wants to flip that argument, I would say that makes him an artist of regional interest.” Benjamin H. D. Buchloh, Reconsidering Beuys: Once Again, in: Gene Ray (ed.), Joseph Beuys: Mapping the Legacy. New York 2001, p. 86.

See URL: http://victoriasquareproject.gr/26.05.2018.

On Beuys’ leveling of the sovereign and the abject in the body of the “sacrificial figure,” see Rosalind Krauss, N: No to . . . Joseph Beuys, in: Rosalind Krauss and Yve-Alain Bois (eds.), Formless: A User’s Guide. New York 1997, p. 143–146. See also Benjamin H. D. Buchloh, Beuys: The Twilight of the Idol, Preliminary Notes for a Critique, in: Artforum Vol. 18, January 1980, p. 35–43, reprinted in Ray 2001, p. 199–211. In Buchloh’s reappraisal of Beuys’ work in the same volume, he admits that, while “earlier Beuys interpreters wanted to construct him in terms of a tranhistorical genius by arguing that his work could be most adequately associated, and compared with, figures such as Leonardo Da Vinci or the tradition of German Romanticism,” that “these interpretive models [. . .] have now given way to an almost exclusive concern with Beuys as the first German, if not the first European artist, to have incorporated reflections on recent political German history.” Buchloh 2001, p. 76. However, Buchloh continues to argue that, “Beuys from the very beginning. . . . insisted on folding formal parameters that artists of both the historical avant-garde as much as those of the postwar neo-avant-garde had defined as formal, as structural, as antimetaphorical, as antinarrative, back into the parameter of the mythical. . . . [Beuys] metaphorically reverted backward into humanism.” Buchloh 2001, p. 82.

On the “generic” quality of Beuys’ work, Buchloh has claimed that, “Beuys’ model of meaning exchanges specificity of perceptual structure and formal organization for generality, that is, verifiable conditions of procedure, matter, material, and formal organization for unverifiable pretences for the works, more or less infinite range of readings.” Buchloh 2001, 84.
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